STATE OF SO	UTH CAROLINA	A)) DEFODE THE						
(Caption of Ca	se))))) BEFORE THE) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION) OF SOUTH CAROLINA						
	f Duke Energy Ca to Adjust and Inc and Charges) COVER SHEET						
))))	DOCKET NUMBER: 2	<u> 226</u>	- <u>E</u>				
(Please type or print) .			W					
Submitted by:	Catherine E. He	igel	SC Bar Number: 9268						
Address:	526 S. Church S	treet, EC03T	Telephone:	704-382-8123 704-382-5690					
	Charlotte, NC 2	8202	Fax:						
			Other:						
NOTE: The cover s	heet and information o	contained herein neither replaces	Email: catherine	heigel@duke-e	nergy.com				
Emergency R ✓ Other: Late	elief demanded in p	DOCKETING INFOR			y) n's Agenda expeditiously				
INDUSTRY (C	heck one)	NATU	RE OF ACTION	(Check all tha	at apply)				
		Affidavit	Letter		Request				
☐ Electric/Gas		Agreement	Memorandum		Request for Certificatio				
☐ Electric/Telecon	nmunications	Answer	☐ Motion		Request for Investigation				
☐ Electric/Water		Appellate Review	Objection		Resale Agreement				
☐ Electric/Water/T	Telecom.	Application	Petition		Resale Amendment				
☐ Electric/Water/S	ewer	Brief	Petition for Re	consideration	Reservation Letter				
Gas		Certificate	Petition for Ru	lemaking	Response				
Railroad		Comments	Petition for Rule	to Show Cause	Response to Discovery				
Sewer		Complaint	Petition to Inte	rvene	Return to Petition				
Telecommunicat	tions	Consent Order	Petition to Interv	ene Out of Time	☐ Stipulation				
☐ Transportation		Discovery	Prefiled Testin	nony	Subpoena				
Water		Exhibit	Promotion		☐ Tariff				
Water/Sewer		Expedited Consideration	Proposed Orde	ŗ	Other:				
Administrative N	Natter	Interconnection Agreement	Protest						
Other:		Interconnection Amendment	Publisher's Aff	idavit					
			Report						

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2009-226-E

In the Matter of: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges))) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE)
)))

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this 11th day of December 2009, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's **Late-Filed Exhibits** via electronic mail to the persons named below at the addresses set forth:

Jeffrey M. Nelson Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201

Shannon Bowyer Hudson Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201

J. Blanding Holman, IV Southern Environmental Law Center P.O. Box 609 Charleston, SC 29402

Rolf Baghdady The S.C. Green Party 118 Cobblestone Court Chapin, SC 29036

This 11th day of December 2009.

Shealy Boland Reibold Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC 29201

Scott A. Elliott Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 721 Olive Street Columbia, SC 29205

Gudrun Thompson Southern Environmental Law Center P.O. Box 609 Charleston, SC 29402

Catherine E. Heigel Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC 526 S. Church Street, EC03T Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket No. 2009-226-E Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. 4

2009 Payroll Information	Duke Energy Carolinas	Duke Energy Business Services	Total	
Total employees	7,747	7,541	15,288	
Employees who received wage increases in 2009	4,533	2,547	7,080	
Percent of employees receiving raises	59%	34%	46%	
Total annualized Employee Wages (In '000's)	\$ 546,546	\$ 572,683	5 1,119,229	
Total wages of employees who received increases in 2009		\$ 148,770	432,816	
Percent of wages of employees receiving raises	52%	26%	39%	
2009 wage increases as a percent of total wages	1.68%	0.99%	1.33%	

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket No. 2009-226-E Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. 5

How much (in \$) has been included in test year to comply with FERC/NERC/SERC reliability standards?

During 2008, approximately \$2.3 million of incremental operating costs were spent, of which approximately \$0.4 million was allocated to the South Carolina retail cost of service.

There was an additional \$0.6 million of incremental capital costs charged to construction work in progress during 2008. These projects have not been closed to plant in service, as a result no dollars related to the capital costs were allocated to the South Carolina retail cost of service.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket 2009-226-E Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. 6

Line <u>No.</u>		Average <u>Residential</u> (a)	Average <u>Commercial</u> (b)	Average <u>Industrial</u> (c)	Average <u>Total Retail</u> (d)	Typical Monthly Residential Bill Based on 1000 kWh per Month (e)
			Cents			
1	New York City (Long Island Power Authority)	19.85	18.07	14.39	17.83	\$200.99
2	Phoenix (Arizona Public Service Company)	10.88	9.58	7.91	10.07	\$105.20
3	Detroit (Detroit Edison Company)	11.14	9.27	6.83	9.21	\$120.07
4	Las Vegas (Nevada Power Company)	11.77	10.02	8.82	10.32	\$115.29
5	Atlanta (Georgia Power Company)	10.24	8.99	6.86	8.80	\$94.13
6	San Diego (San Diego Gas & Electric Company)	15.83	14.68	12.10	14.52	\$237.72
7	Duke Energy Carolinas - SC	7.89	6.76	4.57	6.18	\$83.22

Source:

⁽¹⁾ EEI Typical Bills and Average Rates Report for 2008

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket 2009-226-E Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. 16

Line		Average	Average	Average	Average	Typical Monthly Residential Bill
<u>No.</u>		<u>Residential</u>	Commercial	<u>Industrial</u>	Total Retail	Based on 1000 kWh per Month
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
			Cents/	kWh		
1	Duke Energy Carolinas (1)	7.89	6.76	4.57	6.18	\$83.22
2	South Carolina Electric & Gas (1)	10.83	8.57	5.68	8.57	\$113.28
3	Progress Energy Carolinas (1)	9.62	8.69	6.12	8.02	\$101.52
4	South Atlantic Region (1)	10.53	8.81	5.85	8.94	
5	United States (1)	11.52	10.06			\$109.39
		11.J2	10.06	6.66	9.77	\$116.83
Dula-	Energy Carolinas Avorago South Caroli	no Potos oro DELO	NA/ the Averse	D-1		
Duke	riicigy carollilas Average South Cafoll	lia nates are betu	vv ine Average	: Kates ot:		
6	Energy Carolinas Average South Caroli South Carolina Electric & Gas	27%	vv the Average 21%	20%	28%	
					28% 23%	
6	South Carolina Electric & Gas	27%	21%	20%		
6 7	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas	27% 18%	21% 22%	20% 25%	23%	
6 7 8 9	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas The South Atlantic Region The United States	27% 18% 25% 32%	21% 22% 23% 33%	20% 25% 22% 31%	23% 31% 37%	e. and DSM Riders
6 7 8 9	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas The South Atlantic Region	27% 18% 25% 32%	21% 22% 23% 33% atory, Pension	20% 25% 22% 31% Expense, Nu	23% 31% 37% Iclear Insurance	
6 7 8 9	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas The South Atlantic Region The United States ement Proposed Average Increases INC	27% 18% 25% 32% LUDING Coal Inver	21% 22% 23% 33%	20% 25% 22% 31%	23% 31% 37%	e, and DSM Riders \$89.05 \$90.88
6 7 8 9 Settle 10 11	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas The South Atlantic Region The United States Ement Proposed Average Increases INC Excluding EE Rider (2) Including EE Rider (3)	27% 18% 25% 32% LUDING Coal Inven 7.0% 9.2%	21% 22% 23% 33% htory, Pension 2.9% 3.9%	20% 25% 22% 31% Expense, Nu -5.9%	23% 31% 37% Iclear Insuranc 1.7% 3.1%	\$89.05 \$90.88
6 7 8 9 Settle 10 11	South Carolina Electric & Gas Progress Energy Carolinas The South Atlantic Region The United States ement Proposed Average Increases INC Excluding EE Rider (2)	27% 18% 25% 32% LUDING Coal Inven 7.0% 9.2%	21% 22% 23% 33% htory, Pension 2.9% 3.9%	20% 25% 22% 31% Expense, Nu -5.9%	23% 31% 37% Iclear Insuranc 1.7% 3.1%	\$89.05 \$90.88

Note that even with the proposed increases shown on lines 10-13, Duke Energy Carolinas' average rates and typical residential bill amounts will still be below the 2008 average rates and typical residential bill amounts for South Carolina Electric & Gas, Progress Energy Carolinas, the South Atlantic Region, and the United States for all customer classes and in total.

Sources:

⁽¹⁾ EEI Typical Bills and Average Rates Report for 2008

⁽²⁾ Shrum Settlement Testimony, Page 6, Table, Sum of Columns Titled "General Rate Increase", "Coal Inventory and Pension Expense Riders", and "DSM and Nuclear Insurance Credits". Also, Settlement Agreement, Attachment B, Page 1 of 2, Column (i).

⁽³⁾ Shrum Settlement Testimony, Page 6, Table, Column Titled "Overall Change".

⁽⁴⁾ Shrum Settlement Testimony, Page 6, Table, Column Titled "General Rate Increase". Also, Settlement Agreement, Attachment B, Page 1 of 2, Column Titled "Percent Increase".

⁽⁵⁾ Shrum Settlement Testimony, Page 6, Table, Sum of Columns Titled "General Rate Increase" and "Energy Efficiency Rider".

(2)(3)(4)(5) Typical Monthly Residential Bill Based on 1000 kWh per Month is calculated as Line 1, Column (e) times the Average Residential Increase in Column (a) on Lines 10-13.

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Docket No. 2009-226-E Late-filed Hearing Exhibit No. 17

		Source	Impact of taxes using weighted average methodology (Column A)			Ir South (Impact of taxes using South Carolina only methodology (Column B)		
Line #			State Tax Rate	Tax Apportionment	Weighted Average	State Tax Rate	Tax Apportionment	Weighted Average	
1	State income taxes								
2	South Carolina	Proforma: SD-0107	5.0%	24.087%	4.004404				
3	North Carolina	Proforma: SD-0107	6.9%		1.2044%	5.0%		5.00%	
4	Average state rate	ricionna, OB-0107	0.5%	75.655%	5.2202%	0.0%	0.000%_	0.00%	
5	•				6.4246%			5.00%	
6	Federal income tax rate	Proforma: SD-0107			05 00000				
7		Tioloma, ob-6107			35.0000%			35.00%	
8	Statutory income tax rate	L4 + L6			20.47000/				
9	•	24 (25			39.1760%			38.2500%	-0.9260%
10									
11	Calculation of impact on income tax expense								
12	After tax equity return from Sharon Scott Direct Testimony	Audit Exhibit SGS-7			\$ 181.112				
13	Tax grossup revenue requirement (Excluding gross receipts tax)	1 - L8			\$ 181,112 60.8240%			\$ 181,112	
14	Revenue impact to income taxes as result of using SC tax rate only	L12/L13			\$ 297.764			61.7500%	
15	······				φ 291,104			\$ 293,299	\$ (4,465)
16									
17	Calculation of impact on Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT)								
18	ADIT per settlement agreement	Col A: Settlement Attachment A	- 1.20		d (670.000)				
		Col B: L19 * L8	- 1.20		\$ (673,622)		;	\$ (657,700)	\$ 15,922
19	Pretax deferred tax impact	Col A: L18 / L8 (Col B = Col A)			e (4.740.477)				
20	Ratebase revenue requirement (excluding gross receipts)	Pretax Revenue requirement (Us	ina SC ta	v roto)	\$ (1,719,477)			\$ (1,719,477)	
21	Revenue impact due to Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	L18 * L20	ong oc ia	x rate)					11.920%
22									\$ 1,898
23									
24	Calculation of impact of property tax rate differences								
25	Total property taxes assigned to SC retail per settlement	Col A: Cost of Service							
	The state of the s	Col B: L26 * L27			\$ 44,543		;	64,916	\$ 20,373
26	Gross Electric plant per settlement agreement	Col A: Settlement Attachment A	1.4.4						
	and a second plant per comorners agreement	Col B: Col A	- L14		\$ 5,903,323		;	5,903,323	
27	Average property tax rate	Col A: L25 / L26							
		Col B: State property tax rate (SI	2 0004		0.7545%			1.0996%	
28	Cumulative benefit to SC customers as a result of using blended rates	L14 + L21 + L25	J-090T)						
29		LIT . LE! T LZU							\$ 17,806
30									
04									

Note: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC maintains only one set of accounts for its electric operations in both North and South Carolina. By state law, taxable income is determined for the entire Company and then apportioned to each state according to each state's proportion of gross receipts to total gross receipts. A separate calculation of taxable income earned in each state is not performed for tax purposes.

31 32